1 2 3 4	KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP Ramzi Abadou (SBN 222567) 580 California Street, Suite 1750 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 400-3000 Facsimile: (415) 400-3001	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP Peter Obstler (SBN 171623) Zachary J. Alinder (SBN 209009) Elizabeth Benson (SBN 268851) Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286	
5	- and -		
6	Edward W. Ciolko (pro hac vice)	Counsel for Defendants	
7 8	Terence S. Ziegler (pro hac vice) Donna Siegel Moffa (pro hac vice) Michelle A. Coccagna (pro hac vice) 280 King of Prussia Road		
9	Radnor, PA 19087 Telephone: (610) 667-7706		
10	Facsimile: (610) 667-7056		
11	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class [Additional counsel listed on signature page]		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13			
14			
15	PATRICIA MCNEARY-CALLOWAY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly) Case No.: 11-cv-03058-JCS	
16	situated,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]) ORDER REGARDING FILING OF	
17	Plaintiff,	AMENDED COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P.	
18	V.) 15(a)(1)(B)	
19	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A. and CHASE	Action Filed: June 20, 2011	
20	BANK USA, N.A.,) Judge: Hon. Joseph C. Spero)	
21	Defendants.))	
22			
23	Patricia McNeary-Calloway ("Plaintiff") and Defendants JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. and		
24	Chase Bank USA N.A. (collectively, "Defendants") (together, the "Parties") respectfully submit the		
25			
26	following Joint Stipulation pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B) regarding the filing of Plaintiff's		
27	First Amended Complaint (the "FAC"), attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
28	WHEREAS Plaintiff filed a Class Action Complaint (the "Complaint") against Defendants		
	on June 20, 2011 (ECF No. 1);		
	Case No. 11-cv-03058-JCS Stip. & [Proposed] Order Regarding Filing of Amended Complaint		

WHEREAS on August 19, 2011, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint (the "Motion to Dismiss") (ECF No. 23);

WHEREAS pursuant to the Court's Order regarding the Joint Stipulation to Extend the Time for Plaintiff to Respond to the Motion to Dismiss, filed September 28, 2011 (ECF No. 35), Plaintiff's response to the Motion to Dismiss was initially due October 10, 2011 (ECF No. 36);

WHEREAS as noted in the Joint Stipulation to Extend the Time for Plaintiff to Respond to the Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiff was preparing to file an Amended Complaint to this action to add additional parties and/or additional claims;

WHEREAS on October 7, 2011 the Parties filed a Joint Stipulation reiterating Plaintiff's intention to file an Amended Complaint and requesting seven additional days for Plaintiff to finalize the proposed Amended Complaint in light of discussions amongst co-counsel, discussions with proposed plaintiff and due to the upcoming Jewish holidays (ECF No. 37);

WHEREAS on October 12, 2011 the Court Ordered that Plaintiff respond to the Motion to Dismiss on or before October 17, 2011 in order to provide Plaintiff additional time to complete and finalize the proposed Amended Complaint (ECF No. 38);

WHEREAS all Parties have stipulated and agreed to the filing of the FAC, and agreed to the response, briefing and hearing schedules proposed below.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Plaintiffs shall file their First Amended Complaint on or before October 17, 2011;
- 2. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the original Complaint shall be vacated as moot; and,
- 3. Defendants shall have until November 21, 2011 to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint. If Defendants' response is a motion to dismiss or other pleading motion rather than an answer, Plaintiff's opposition shall then be due on or before December 30, 2011, and Defendants' reply would be due on or before January 25, 2011. The hearing shall then be set for March 9, 2012 JCS February 17, 2012 at 9:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits. The Parties further agree and respectfully request that the Court set the initial case management conference for the same date as the hearing on Defendants' renewed motion to dismiss, consistent with the Court's prior case management schedule (*see* Docket No. 34), and with the joint case management statement

1	due one week before the case management conference. All other dates shall run in accordance wit		
2	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the United States District Court for th		
3	Northern District of California.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5		Respectfully submitted,	
6	Dated: October 17, 2011	/s/ Edward W. Ciolko	
7		Edward W. Ciolko (<i>pro hac vice</i>) eciolko@ktmc.com	
8		Terry S. Ziegler (pro hac vice)	
9		tziegler@ktmc.com Donna Siegel Moffa (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
10		dmoffa@ktmc.com	
		Michelle A. Coccagna (pro hac vice)	
11		mcoccagna@ktmc.com KESSLER TOPAZ	
12		MELTZER & CHECK LLP	
13		280 King of Prussia Road	
13		Radnor, PA 19087 Tel: (610) 667-7706	
14		Fax: (610) 667-7056	
15		-and-	
16		Ramzi Abadou (SBN 222567)	
17		rabadou@ktmc.com 580 California Street, Ste. 1750	
10		San Francisco, CA 94104	
18		Tel: (415) 400-3000	
19		Fax: (415) 400-3001	
20		Jeffrey J. Angelovich (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Michael B. Angelovich (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
21		Brad E. Seidel (pro hac vice)	
		NIX PATTERSON & ROACH LLP 205 Linda Drive	
22		Daingerfield, TX 75638	
23		Tel: (903) 645-7333	
24		Fax: (903) 645-4415	
25		Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class	
26			
27			
28			

Case No. 11-cv-03058-JCS Stip. & [Proposed] Order Regarding Filing of Amended Complaint

1	Dated: October 17, 2011	BINGHAM McCCUTCHEN LLP
2 3 4 5		/s/ Peter Obstler (with consent) Peter Obstler (SBN 171623) peter.obstler@bingham.com Zachary J. Alinder (SBN 209009) zachary.alinder@bingham.com Elizabeth Benson (SBN 268851) elly.benson@bingham.com
6		Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111
7		Tel: (415) 393-2000 Fax: (415) 393-2286
8		Attorneys for Defendants
10		
11		
12		
13	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION O	OF THE PARTIES IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	10/10/2011	
15	Dated: 10/19/2011	AS MODIFIED Strate Judge
16 17		Unit AS MODA istrate Judge Z Judge Joseph C. Spero
18		
19		DISTRICT OF CU
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2627		
28		
20		

FILER'S ATTESTATION Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from all of the signatories. DATED: October 17, 2011 KESSLER TOPAZ **MELTZER & CHECK, LLP** By: /s/ Edward W. Ciolko Edward W. Ciolko

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on October 17, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses of all counsel of record. /s/ Edward W. Ciolko
Edward W. Ciolko

Case No. 11-cv-03058-JCS Stip. & [Proposed] Order Regarding Filing of Amended Complaint